

1	LIZABETH N. deVRIES SBN 227215 The Scott Law Firm		
2	1375 Sutter St, Suite 222		
3	San Francisco, CA 94109 [Felephone: (415) 561-9600 [Facsimile: (415) 561-9609		
4			
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff KATHY DEOCAMPO		
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALL ORTH		
10	KATHY DEOCAMPO,	Case No. C 04-03018 MMC	
11	Plaintiff,		
12	v.	STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL PER FRCP 41(A) AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	
13	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF		
14	CORRECTIONS, EDWARD S. ALAMEIDA, JR., JEANNE S.		
15	WOODFORD, AND DOES 1-25, INCLUSIVE,		
16	Defendants.		
17			
18	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a), the parties to this action, by and		
19	through their counsel, hereby stipulate to the following.		
20	Plaintiff KATHY DEO CAMPO hereby dismisses Defendants CALIFORNIA		
21	DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, EDWARD S. ALAMEIDA, JR. AND JEANNE S.		
22	WOODFORD from the above-captioned action; and each party shall bear his/her/its own costs of		
23	suit and attorneys' fees.		
24	V *		
25	<i>'</i>		
26	Y		
27	1		
28 -	TIPULATION OF DISMISSAL PER FRCP 41(A) AND [PROPOSED] ORDER		
	ATTY APPLITABLY OF PROMINENT PROFESSION ATTACKS OF A CONTRACT AND		

Case 3:04-cv-03018-MMC Document 28 Filed 01/05/06 Page 2 of 2 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 1 THE SCOTT LAW FIRM DATED: January 4, 2006 2 3 By: 4 OHN HOUSTON SCOTT Attorney for Plaintiff 5 DATED: January **BILL LOCKYER** Attorney General of the State of California 6 KAREŇ KIYO HUSTER 7 Deputy Attorney General 8 9. 10 Deputy Attorney General Attorney for Defendants 11 ORDER 12 13 IT IS SO ORDERED. 14 15 DATED: January 5, 2006 16 JUDSE, U.S. DISTRICT COURT 17 F:\Cases\Cases - Active\Deo Campo, Kathy\Pleadings\Stip & Order Rule 41 Dismissal.wpd 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

TIPULATION OF DISMISSAL PER FRCP 41(A) AND [PROPOSED] ORDER